ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 2 5 1996

		FEBERAL CONTRACTOR TO THE PROPERTY OF STREET
In the Matter of)	4.02473
)	
Amendment of the Commission's Rules)	WT Docket No. 96-6
To Permit Flexible Service Offerings)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services)	

REPLY OF COX ENTERPRISES, INC.

Cox Enterprises, Inc. ("Cox"), by its attorneys, hereby submits its reply comments on the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the above captioned rulemaking proceeding.¹

The Commission is well aware of Cox's often-stated intention to use personal communications service ("PCS") to provide local telecommunications services in competition with incumbent local exchange carriers ("LECs").2 While Cox believes that the Commission's current rules and policies are a sufficient basis for PCS or other broadband commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS") providers to offer "fixed"-type services in competition with incumbent LECs, Cox supports those commenters that ask the Commission to confirm a flexible approach

List ABCDE

^{1/} See Amendment of the Commission's Rules To Permit Flexible Service Offerings in the Commercial Mobile Radio Services, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket No. 96-6 (released January 25, 1996) ("Notice").

^{2/} See, e.g., Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Treatment of Operator Services Under Price Cap Regulation, Revisions to Price Cap Rules for AT&T, Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 94-1, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 93-124, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 93-197, Comments of Cox Enterprises, Inc. (filed December 11, 1995) at 2-3 (citing Cox's early policy position that PCS, if properly encouraged, could provide wireless competition to the LEC monopoly local loop). No. of Copies rec'd

for CMRS provision of all types of fixed and mobile communications services. Cox does, however, agree with the concerns raised by Comcast Corporation that incumbent LECs not use any rules developed in this docket to extricate their wireline networks from state regulatory oversight or from the market-opening requirements in Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. It is essential that the Commission make plain that incumbent LEC wireline networks cannot be "integrated" with LEC in-region CMRS networks in order to escape landline regulation. The Commission should not attempt to define a term like "wireless local loop" because, as the comments demonstrate, any definition would create unnecessary regulatory disputes and needlessly inhibit the ability of CMRS providers to compete with the LECs.

^{3/} See, e.g., Comments of SBC Communications, Inc. at 1 ("CMRS providers should have the flexibility to use the spectrum however they choose"); Comments of AT&T Corp. at 1 (the Commission should clarify that "CMRS providers may provide fixed services with their wireless spectrum"); Comments of 360° Communications Company at 2 ("360 supports the proposal to change existing rules to allow all CMRS providers to offer all types of fixed wireless services").

^{4/} See Comments of Comcast Corporation at 7.

^{5/} Further, in order to best promote competition, in-region LEC CMRS providers should not be integrated with LEC wireline networks. See, e.g., Letter to William E. Kennard, Esquire, General Counsel, Federal Communications Commission from Werner K. Hartenberger, Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, on behalf of AirTouch Communications, Inc., Comcast Corporation and Cox Enterprises, Inc. (dated January 18, 1996). The Commission must examine the issue of inregion LEC CMRS structural separation in a notice and comment rulemaking proceeding. Id. It cannot simply ignore the issue or eliminate the cellular structural separation rule as the LECs ask. See, e.g., U S West Comments at 5-6.

^{6/} See, e.g., Comments of SR Telecom, Inc. at 6 (definition of "wireless local loop" could result in the restrictive development of technologies); Notice of Public Rule Making Response, COMAV, LLC & The Telemarc Group, Inc. at 8 (the proposed definition of "wireless local loop" has a fundamental fault because it does not take into account that aggregation or switching takes place in the cell site and may also, depending on the evolution of the technology, take place in the end user terminal).

Some commenters claim that CMRS intrastate "fixed" services should be regulated by the states as the equivalent of landline services. Not only does this proposal vitiate the Commission's obvious intent to encourage widespread CMRS deployment, but it ignores the very limited, non-substantive and conditional jurisdiction the states do have over CMRS operations. Congress has explicitly removed state jurisdiction over CMRS until such time that CMRS becomes a replacement for wireline telephone exchange service for a "substantial portion" of the wireline telephone exchange service within each state. As Cox has explained, the Commission presently has exclusive jurisdiction over all aspects of CMRS because Congress wanted CMRS to be regulated exclusively on the national level. If Congress's goal of a seamless, nationwide network of CMRS providers is to be realized, the Commission must follow Congress's directive and retain jurisdiction over all aspects of CMRS.

^{7/} See, e.g., NYNEX Comments at 3.

^{8/} In the 1993 Budget Act Congress removed state jurisdiction over CMRS absent FCC approval. See Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, Pub. L. No. 103-66, Title VI, §§ 6002(b)(2)(A), 6002(b)(2)(B), 107 Stat. 312, 392 (1993) ("1993 Budget Act"). The states retain jurisdiction to regulate "terms and conditions" relating to CMRS service, meaning that the states may establish standards for "such matters as customer billing information and practices and billing disputes and other consumer protection matters." See H.R. Rep. No. 103-111, 103rd Cong., 1st Sess., at 260.

^{9/} See, e.g., Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 95-185, Comments of Cox Enterprises, Inc. (filed March 4, 1996) at 35-47. Similarly, nothing in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 affects the Commission's jurisdiction over CMRS. <u>Id</u>.

^{10/} After CMRS becomes equivalent to the landline local loop, but not before, the states can petition the Commission for jurisdiction over CMRS. See 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(3)(A)(ii) (once CMRS becomes a replacement for landline telephone services for a substantial portion of the telephone landline exchange service within a state, a state may petition the Commission for authority to regulate CMRS if competition for local loop service is not in place).

LEC arguments that CMRS provision of "fixed" services under federal CMRS regulation will create an "inefficient" dual regulatory regime should be dismissed. LECs need no protection to "compete fairly with CMRS providers," as GTE claims. Rather, it is the CMRS providers that need special consideration reflected in Commission policies when an incumbent LEC is also a CMRS provider. Until non-LEC affiliated CMRS providers have fair and reasonable access to the ubiquitous incumbent LEC networks according to a mutual compensation interconnection policy with true service provider number portability, no argument can be made that incumbent LECs and CMRS providers should operate under the same regulations. Indeed, the Commission itself has recently stated its intention to review the competitive safeguards and rules applicable to in-region LEC CMRS. However, because the Commission has not yet adopted long overdue safeguards for in-region LEC CMRS, it must make sure that any rules developed in this docket do not permit the LECs to use their landline networks and CMRS affiliate relationships in a manner harmful to emerging CMRS competition.

^{11/} See, e.g., Comments of Bell Atlantic at 3-5.

^{12/} Comments of GTE Service Corporation at 3.

^{13/} Cox believes that "bill and keep" is the appropriate policy to adopt for LEC-CMRS interconnection, at least on an interim basis to allow PCS to establish itself as a local telecommunications competitor. See Interconnection Between Local Exchange Carriers and Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, CC Docket No. 95-185, Comments of Cox Enterprises, Inc. (filed March 4, 1996) at 2.

^{14/} See, e.g., In the Matter of Telephone Number Portability, CC Docket No. 95-116, RM 8535, Comments of Cox Enterprises, Inc. et. al. (as part of the Ad Hoc Coalition of Competitive Common Carriers) (filed September 12, 1995).

^{15/} See Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish New Personal
Communications Services; Pacific Bell, Nevada Bell, Pacific Bell Mobile Services and Pacific
Telesis Mobile Services' Plan of Non-Structural Safeguards Against Cross-Subsidy and
Discrimination, Order, Docket No. 90-314 (released February 27, 1996) at 6 ¶ 11.

Public policy concerns about protecting consumers and promoting competition require that incumbent monopoly common carriers and their affiliates operate under different regulatory standards than new market entrants. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 also makes important regulatory distinctions between incumbent LECs and other telecommunications carriers. When incumbent LECs lose control over essential bottleneck facilities and CMRS becomes a substantial competitor for fixed services the states have a statutory mechanism to ask the Commission to re-visit the issue of federal jurisdiction over CMRS. Until that time the Commission should stay with its present

^{16/} See, e.g., Motion of AT&T Corp. to be Reclassified as a Non-Dominant Carrier, Order, FCC 95-427 (released October 23, 1995) at 3-4 ¶ 3 ("Between 1979 and 1985, the Commission conducted the Competitive Carrier proceeding, in which it examined how its regulations should be adapted to reflect and promote the increasing competition in telecommunications markets. A major purpose of the Competitive Carrier rulemaking was to reduce or eliminate the application of economic regulation to new competitive entrants, since such entrants would improve market performance as rivals to AT&T and other incumbent, monopoly providers of telecommunications services and should not be viewed as potential monopolists requiring the same degree of economic regulation.") (footnotes omitted).

course of promoting CMRS as a viable local loop competitor through its exercise of federal jurisdiction over all aspects of CMRS.

Respectfully submitted,

COX ENTERPRISES, INC.

Werner K. Hartenberger

Laura H. Phillips

Christina H. Burrow

Its Attorneys

DOW, LOHNES & ALBERTSON

A Professional Limited Liability Company 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20036-6802 (202) 776-2000

March 25, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "Reply of Cox Enterprises, Inc." was served by hand or U.S. Mail on this 25th day of March, 1996, to the following:

VIA HAND DELIVERY

ITS. Inc.

2100 M Street, N.W.

Suite 140

Washington, D.C.

Donald C. Rowe, Esq.

Counsel for NYNEX Companies

1111 Westchester Avenue

White Plains, NY 10604

Andre J. Lachance, Esq.

Counsel for GTE Service Corporation

1850 M St., N.W., Suite 1200

Wash., D.C. 20036

James G. Pachulski, Esq.

Counsel for The Bell Atlantic

Telephone Companies

1320 North Court House Road

8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201

Lisa M. Zaina, Esq.

General Counsel

OPASTCO

21 Dupont Circle, NW

Suite 700

Wash., D.C. 20036

Terrence P. McGarty

President

COMAV, LLC and The Telmarc

Group, Inc.

24 Woodbine Rd.

Florham Park, NJ 07932

Charles R. Geer

Managing Director, U.S.

SR TELECOM INC.

4600 South Ulster Street

Suite 700

Denver, CO 80237

Michael Morris

V.P. External Affairs

SR TELECOM, INC.

8150 Transcanada Highway

St. Laurent, Quebec

Canada H4S 1M5

Wayne V. Black, Esq.

John Reardon, Esq.

Keller and Heckman

1001 G Street, N.W.

Suite 500 West

Wash., D.C. 20001

Frederick M. Joyce, Esq.

Christine McLaughlin, Esq.

CELPAGE, INC.

JOYCE & JACOBS, Attys at Law, L.L.P.

1019 - 19th St., N.W.

14th Floor - PH2

Wash., D.C. 20036

Jeffrey S. Bork

Coleen M. Helmreich

U S WEST, Inc.

1020 - 19th St., N.W., Suite 700

Wash., D.C. 20036

Kevin C. Gallagher

Senior V.P.-General Counsel and Secretary

360° Communications Company

8725 Higgins Road

Chicago, IL 60631

Cathleen A. Massey
Douglas I. Branson
AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.
1150 Conn. Ave., N.W.
4th Floor
Wash., D.C. 20036

Mark C. Rosenblum Judy Sello Room 3244J1 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Robert M. Lynch, V.P. & General Counsel-External Affairs Bruce E. Beard, Esq. David Brown, Esq. Attorneys Representing SBC Communications, Inc. 175 E. Houston San Antonio, TX 78205

Mr. Robert S. Foosaner Mr. Lawrence R. Krevor Laura L. Holloway, Esq. Nextel Communications, Inc. 800 Conn. Ave., N.W. Suite 1001 Wash., D.C. 20006

John A. Malloy, Esq. Leo R. Fitzsimon, Esq. GO Communications Corporation 201 N. Union Street, Suite 410 Alexandria, VA 22314

Michael F. Altschul, Esq. Randall S. Coleman, Esq. CTIA 1250 Conn. Ave., N.W. Suite 200 Wash., D.C. 20036 Philip L. Verveer, Esq.
Jennifer A. Donaldson, Esq.
WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER
1155 - 21st St., N.W., Suite 600
Three Lafayette Centre
Wash., D.C. 20036-3384

John F. Beasley, Esq. William B. Barfield, Esq. Jim O. Llewellyn, Esq. Counsel for BellSouth Corp. 1155 Peachtree St., N.E., Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30309-2641

Charles P. Featherstun, Esq. David G. Richards, Esq. Counsel for BellSouth Corp. 1133 - 21st St., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20036

James Rowe Alaska Telephone Association 4341 B St., Suite 304 Anchorage, AK 99503

Mary E. Brooner Manager, Wireless Regulatory Policies Motorola, Inc. 1350 I St., N.W., Suite 400 Wash., D.C. 20005

John T. Scott, III, Esq. Crowell & Moring 1001 Penn. Ave., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20004

S. Mark Tuller, Esq.
Bell Atlantic NYNEX Mobile, Inc.
180 Washington Valley Road
Bedminster, NJ 07921

James F. Ireland, Esq. Theresa A. Zeterberg, Esq. Cole, Raywid & Braverman, L.L.P. 1919 Penn. Ave., N.W. Suite 200 Wash., D.C. 20554

Ronald L. Plesser, Esq. Mark J. O'Connor, Esq. Counsel for CIX Piper & Marbury, L.L.P. 1200 - 19th St., N.W., 7th Floor Wash., D.C. 20036

David L. Nace, Esq.
Counsel for Alliance of LEC-Affiliated
Wireless Services Providers
LUKAS, McGOWAN, NACE &
GUTIERREZ, Chtd.
1111 - 19th St., N.W., 12th Fl.
Wash., D.C. 20036

Alan R. Shark, President & CEO Jill M. Lyon, Dir. of Regulatory Relations American Mobile Telecommunications Association, Inc. 1150 - 18th St., N.W., Suite 250 Wash., D.C. 20036

Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esq. LUKAS, McGOWAN, NACE & GUTIERREZ, Chtd. 1111 - 19th St., N.W., 12th Fl. Wash., D.C. 20036

Lon C. Levin, Esq. V.P. and Regulatory Counsel AMSC Subsidiary Corporation 10802 Park Ridge Boulevard Reston, VA 22091 Bruce D. Jacobs, Esq.
Glenn S. Richards, Esq.
Fisher Wayland Cooper Leader
& Zaragoza L.L.P.
2001 Penn. Ave., N.W.
Suite 400
Wash., D.C. 20006

Wayne V. Black, Esq.
John Reardon, Esq.
Counsel for American Petroleum Institute
KELLER and HECKMAN
1001 G Street
Suite 500 West
Wash., D.C. 20001

Jonathan D. Blake, Esq. Kurt A. Wimmer, Esq. Counsel for Telular Corporation COVINGTON & BURLING 1201 Penn. Ave., N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Wash., D.C. 20044

Caressa D. Bennet, Esq. Michael R. Bennet, Esq. Counsel for Ad Hoc Rural Cellular Coalition Bennet & Bennet, PLLC 1831 Ontario Place, N.W. Suite 200 Wash., D.C. 20009

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Esq. David A. Gross, Esq. AirTouch Communications, Inc. 1818 N St., N.W., Suite 800 Wash., D.C. 20036

Pamela Riley AirTouch Communications, Inc. One California Street 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Donald M. Mukai U S WEST NewVector Group, Inc. 3350 - 161st Ave., S.E. Bellevue, WA 98008-1329

Michael B. Azeez President PCS ONE, INC. 2500 English Creek Ave. Building 11 Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234

Frank Michael Panek, Esq.
Attorney for Ameritech
Room 4H84
2000 West Ameritech Center Drive
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Jay C. Keithley, Esq. H. Richard Juhnke, Esq. Counsel for Sprint Corporation 1850 M St., N.W., Suite 1100 Wash., D.C. 20036-5807

Diane R. Stafford, Esq. Counsel for Sprint Corporation P.O. Box 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112

Susan W. Smith Director of External Affairs Century Cellunet, Inc. 3505 Summerhill Road No. 4 Summer Place Texarkana, TX 75501

Steven Sivitz
PCS Business Development
Pacific Communication Sciences, Inc.
9645 Scranton Rd.
San Diego, CA 92121

Michael J. Shortly, III, Esq. Senior Attorney Frontier Corporation 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646-0700

Brian G. Kiernan, Vice President InterDigital Communications Corporation 781 Third Avenue King of Prussia, PA 19406-1409

Fred Daniel d/b/a Orion Telecom P.O. Box 9227 Newport Beach, CA 92660

David Cosson, Esq. L. Marie Guillory, Esq. Steven E. Watkins NTCA 2626 Penn. Ave., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20037

Catherine R. Sloan Richard L. Fruchterman Richard S. Whitt WorldCom, Inc. d/b/a LDDS WorldCom 1120 Conn. Ave., N.W., Suite 400 Wash., D.C. 20036

Gene DeJordy Western Wireless Corporation 330 - 120th Ave., N.E., Suite 200 Bellevue, Washington 98005

Jeffrey L. Sheldon, Esq. General Counsel UTC 1140 Conn. Ave., N.W. Suite 1140 Wash., D.C. 20036 Jonathan M. Chambers Sprint Spectrum 1801 K St., N.W. Suite M-112 Wash., D.C. 20006

Cheryl A. Tritt, Esq.
Charles H. Kennedy, Esq.
James A. Casey, Esq.
Counsel for Sprint Spectrum
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP
2000 Penn. Ave., N.W., #5500
Wash., D.C. 20006

Caressa D. Bennet, Esq.
Michael R. Bennet, Esq.
Counsel for Digital Radio, L.P.
SMR Systems, Inc.
Bennet & Bennet, PLLC
1831 Ontario Place, N.W., Suite 200
Wash., D.C. 20009

Richard Ekstand, Chairman Government and Industry Affairs Committee Rural Cellular Association 2120 L St., N.W., Suite 520 Wash., D.C. 20037

Danny E. Adams, Esq.
Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr., Esq.
Counsel for DSC Communications
Corporation
Kelley Drye & Warren
1200 - 19th St., N.W.
Wash., D.C. 20036-2423

Paul Rodgers, Esq. Charles D. Gray, Esq. James Bradford Ramsay, Esq. NARUC 1102 ICC Building P.O. Box 684 Wash., D.C. 20044 Stephen L. Goodman Counsel for Northern Telecom, Inc. Halprin, Temple, Goodman & Sugrue 1100 New York Ave., N.W. Suite 650, East Tower Wash., D.C. 20005

John G. Lamb, Jr., Esq. Northern Telecom Inc. 2100 Lakeside Boulevard Richardson, TX 75081-1599

Maureen O. Helmer, Esq. Susan M. Narkewicz, Esq. New York State Dept. of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Mark J. O'Connor, Esq. Counsel for Omnipoint Corporation Piper & Marbury L.L.P. 1200 - 19th St., N.W., 7th Fl. Wash., D.C. 20036

Steven H. Schulman of Latham & Watkins Counsel for PACS Providers Forum 1001 Penn. Ave., N.W., Suite 1300 Wash., D.C. 20004

Mark J. Golden, V.P. of Industry Affairs Robert R. Cohen Personal Communications Industry Association 500 Montgomery Street, Suite 700 Alexandria, VA 22314-1561 R. Michael Senkowski, Esq. Katherine M. Holden, Esq. Stephen J. Rosen, Esq. WILEY, REIN & FIELDING Counsel for PCIA 1776 K St., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20006

James P. Tuthill Betsy Stover Granger Pacific Telesis Group 4420 Rosewood Drive 4th Fl., Bldg. 2 Pleasanton, CA 94588

James L. Wurtz Margaret E. Garber Pacific Telesis Group 1275 Penn. Ave., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20004

Phillip L. Verveer Michael F. Finn WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER Three Lafayette Centre 1155 - 21st St., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20036-3384

Timothy R. Graham Leo I. George Joseph M. Sandri, Jr. WINSTAR COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1146 - 19th St., N.W. Wash., D.C. 20036

Constance A. Randolph